GOVERNMENT OF ODISHA
FINANCE DEPARTMENT

***
No. 2860 (13) /F, Bhubaneswar, dated 30.01.2013
FIN-OBFA-OB-0001-2013

From

Shri J. K. Mohapatra, IAS
Additional Chief Secretary to Government.

To

The Principal Secretaries to Govt.
Forest & Environment/ Rural Development
Agriculture/Water Resources/
Housing & Urban Development/
Health & Family Welfare Department

Commissioner-cum-Secretaries to Govt.
Panchayati Raj/ Energy/ Fisheries & ARD/
ST & SC Development and Minorities & Backward Class Welfare/
School & Mass Education
Women & Child Development Department.

E.I.C-cum-Secretary to Govt.
Works Department


Sir,

In inviting a reference to the subject cited above, I am directed to say that, Outcome Budget was prepared by your Department for the year 2012-13.

2. The Outcome Budget for the year 2013-14 for both Plan (SP, CP, CSP) and Non-Plan (Non-salary deliverable out puts only) may be prepared in the same manner and same proforma as has been adopted for the year 2012-13.

3. Government of India have issued guidelines dated 1st January, 2013 for preparation of Outcome Budget, 2013-14 (Guideline at Annexure-I) which may be broadly followed along with the Outcome Budget Document for the year 2012-13 of the related Line Ministry of Government of India.
4. Abstract showing details of plan schemes shall be prepared and annexed to Chapter II of the Outcome Budget Document 2013-14. A note may be inserted at the foot of the Annexure that the works/scheme/project-wise allocation is tentative and may be varied in keeping with the progress of the work during the course of the financial year.

5. While preparing the Outcome Budget for the year 2013-14, a brief write up and actual financial and physical performance of the year 2011-12, the performance during 2012-13 (up-to December, 2012) in terms of targets already set, in tabular form, in Chapter-IV of the Outcome Budget Document are required to be indicated. This Chapter should include analysis of physical performance (scheme-wise) with the reasons for variations; explaining the scope and objectives of the individual programmes / schemes mentioning their physical targets and achievements.

6. If necessary, the Departments may engage professionals/consultant for preparation of Outcome Budget Document in order to complete the preparation of the document before presentation of Annual Budget.

7. The implementing Departments may engage independent evaluation agencies for evaluation of the achievements against physical outputs and final outcomes of major flagship schemes indicated in the Outcome Budget.

8. Name, designation, contact No. and e-mail id of Nodal Officers entrusted with the task of compilation of Outcome Budget is to be furnished to Finance Department. In case of any clarification, the Nodal Officers may solicit the help of concerned Resource Person- Sri Devipriya Biswal, OSD-cum-Under Secretary, Finance Department, Tel.No.0674-2322279/9438422529 (M),e-mail:devipriya_biswal@yahoo.co.in.

9. The Outcome Budget 2012-13 of the concerned Department is required to be made available to the Departmentally-related Standing Committees for their examination, for which a time bound action plan may be formulated for the purpose. Since the non-plan pre budget scrutiny is over and the Department wise plan ceiling has been communicated by the P & C Department, the Nodal Officers of the Department concerned should immediately start the process of preparation of Outcome Budget. They should furnish the Draft Outcome
Budget of the Department to Finance Department within 7 days from the date of introduction of the Annual Budget proposals in the Legislative Assembly. Then it would be possible for Finance Department to return the draft documents with their comments to the Department concerned for printing and to make it available to the Departmentally Related Standing Committees when the Legislative Assembly goes in to recess during the Budget Session.

10. The real value of Outcome Budget lies in its utilities as a policy tool to establish effective linkage with allocation and disbursement of public fund on the basis of measurable performance. Therefore, they should link release of funds with progress in achieving monitorable physical targets against commitment made in the Outcome Budget. Similarly, the utilization certificates should also bring out the output based physical performance.

I would, therefore, request you to kindly issue necessary instructions to the Nodal Officers as well as the Budget Controlling Officers for timely preparation of Outcome Budget, 2013-14 and its effective implementation.

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

Additional Chief Secretary to Government
Memo No. __2861__ /F., Dt. __30.01.2013__

Copy along-with copy of enclosures forwarded to Dr. R.V. Singh, O.S.D. to Government, P & C Department for favour of information.

Memo No. __2862 (50)__ /F., Dt. __30.01.2013__

Copy along-with copy of enclosures forwarded to all Officers/ Budget Expenditure Branches of Finance Department for information and necessary action.
OFFICE MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT:- GUIDELINES FOR PREPARATION OF OUTCOME BUDGET 2013-14.

Introduction

Outcome budgets have become an integral part of the budgeting process since 2005-06. OUTCOME BUDGET 2013-14 will broadly indicate the physical dimensions of the financial budgets as also the actual physical performance in 2011-12, performance for the first 9 months of the year 2012-13 and the targeted performance during 2013-14.

Scope of Coverage in Outcome Budget 2013-14

2. The Outcome Budget documents will be prepared separately by each Ministry/Department in respect of all Demands/Appropriations controlled by them, except those exempted from this requirement and to the extent disclosures are not barred on considerations of security etc. A list of exempted Demands/Appropriations is enclosed at Annexure-I. However, even the Ministries/Departments and other authorities ‘exempted’ from preparation of Outcome Budget and placing it in public domain are requested to carry out this exercise for internal use and voluntarily decide to place it in public domain fully or partially.

3. As far as feasible, sub-targets for coverage of women and SC/ST beneficiaries under various developmental schemes and the schemes for the benefit of the North-Eastern Region should be separately indicated.

Broad format of Outcome Budget 2013-14

4. The Outcome Budget 2013-14 will be prepared on the basis of Budget 2013-14 in the form of a document, separate for each Ministry/Department, broadly consisting of the chapters detailed below:

   Executive summary: Apart from summarizing relevant chapters, this portion should prominently highlight the details of the monitoring mechanism and the public information system put in place by the Ministry/Department to regularly monitor physical and financial progress during the course of the year and inform the general public about it.

   Chapter I: A brief introductory note on the functions of the Ministry/Department, organizational set up, list of major programmes/schemes implemented by the Ministry/Department, its mandate, goals and policy framework. This introduction should
highlight, in particular, if the Ministry has goals, or major programmes or schemes in respect of women/gender equality.

Chapter II: This will contain a tabular format, which may be visualized as “vertical compression and horizontal expansion” of the Statement of Budget Estimate (SBE) included in the Expenditure Budget Vol. II (with suitably adapted format for Railways). There may be separate tables for separate Demands/Appropriations controlled by the Ministry/Department. The main objective is to establish a one-to-one correspondence between (financial) Budget 2013-14 and Outcome Budget 2013-14. The details will comprise of the financial outlays, projected physical outputs and projected/budgeted outcomes (intermediate/partial and final, as the case may be). Projected physical output should be disaggregated by sex, wherever possible and appropriate i.e. where delivery is to individuals. An indicative format for these Demand/appropriation-wise tables is enclosed Annexure-II. Explanatory notes may be liberally added, wherever necessary.

Chapter III: This will detail reform measures and policy initiatives, if any, taken by the Ministry/Department and how they relate to the intermediate outputs and final outcomes in areas such as public private partnerships, alternate delivery mechanisms, social and women’s empowerment processes, greater decentralization, transparency etc.

Chapter IV: Review of past performance: At the very least, this should indicate the performance during 2011-12 and 2012-13 (upto December, 2012) in terms of targets already set. The analysis of physical performance should be Scheme-wise with the reasons for variations; explaining the scope and objectives of individual programs/schemes, giving their physical targets and achievements. Indicators of performance relating to individuals should be sex-disaggregated.

Chapter V: Financial Review covering overall trends in expenditure vis-à-vis Budget Estimates/Revised Estimates in recent years, including the current year. Data should be segregated scheme-wise, object head-wise, and institution wise in the case of autonomous institutions. Position of outstanding utilization certificates and unspent balances with States and implementation agencies should also be brought out.

Chapter VI: Review of performance of Statutory and Autonomous Bodies under the administrative control of the Ministry/Department. The same principles as in reporting on the Ministry’s own performance should be followed. These principles include sex disaggregation of performance indicators relating to individuals.

5. The following points may be noted in respect of the contents of Chapter II of Outcome Budget 2013-14 giving targets for 2013-14:

(i) The description of items should match with the description shown for the different items in the Statement of Budget Estimates (SBE) as included in Expenditure Budget Vol. II of the Budget 2013-14. However minor items may be clubbed to avoid cluttering up and focusing attention on significant items.

(ii) The terms “complementary extra-budgetary resources” refers to the resources committed for the purpose by the entities other than the Central Government.
Typically, it would include matching share from the State Governments for Centrally Sponsored Schemes or resource contribution by Public Sector Undertakings or by private parties in the case of Public Private Partnership projects.

(iii) There should be a separate table for each Central Public Sector Enterprise (CPSE) under the administrative control of the Ministry, with itemized listing of major projects in hand. The Outcome Budget should be prepared on the basis of the Central Plan Outlay comprising of both the Gross Budgetary support component as well as the Internal & Extra Budgetary Resources (IEBR) component of the Central Plan Outlay. Thus, the Outcome Budget in respect of CPSEs may be prepared even if there is no budgetary support.

(iv) Wherever a Ministry/Department has large autonomous Bodies substantially dependent upon Government for financial support, separate tables may also be considered for each such organization as per the assessment of the Ministry/Department.

(v) The tables concerning CPSEs or Autonomous Bodies should list out important projects in hand give such details as sanctioned cost, scheduled date of completion, total cumulative expenditure till the beginning of the year, total expenditure planning during 2013-14, likely date of completion, and the related “outputs” and “outcomes”. The latter should be sex-disaggregated where they relate to projects oriented to individuals.

(vi) The ‘final outcome’ need not necessarily be co-terminus with the annual outlays and ‘intermediate physical outputs’. ‘Final outcomes’ may span a longer time frame than the annual final outlays and corresponding intermediate outputs. Wherever the ‘final outcomes’ are estimated to take longer than one year, the estimated time frame should be clearly indicated. In case, the gestation is four to five years or longer, the ‘partial outcomes’ need to be tracked on an annual basis to ensure that the ‘final outcomes’ once indicated as achievable after five years are not forgotten or mechanically repeated in the document from one year to the other, and that the progress made towards achieving the final goal is reflected. Information should be provided whether the project is in the ‘initial’ stage, ‘intermediate’ stage or ‘final’ stage.

(vii) Wherever ‘physical outputs’ are in a sense the ‘final outcomes’, assessment of ‘quality of output’ through ‘appropriate indicators of quality’ should be brought out.

(viii) Wherever ‘final outcomes’ are not the direct results of the annual outlays and are the cumulative effect of past several years’ outlays, this should be clearly explained.

(ix) The ‘final outcome’ component of the Outcome Budget need not necessarily have yearly targets, as final outcomes will vary by Ministry and Programme. ‘Final Outcome’ wherever possible can be measured in a five year time frame in line with five year Plans. The ‘partial outcome’ may be mentioned in these cases in the Outcome Budget of that year.
Certain preliminary matters:

1. The outcome reflects the ultimate aims of Government policy through budgetary measures.

2. The outcome budget defines such extra-budgetary measures as their impact on the outcome.

3. It would be desirable if the Ministry/Department were to give a common level of detail in at least a minimum set of expenditure parameters (specially 3).

4. The outcome budget 2013-14 will cover non-plan expenditures as per outcomes. The outcome budget is a statement of financial terms and conditions. Hence the scope of non-plan expenditures is determined by the Ministry/Department.

5. The outcome reports are not measurable and quantifiable, the likely benefit here.

6. The outcome reports are not measurable and quantifiable, the likely benefit here.
10. The Minister/Department may engage independent evaluators and assessors for scrutiny/review of the Minister/Department’s reports and findings on matters of major Parliamentary importance.

9. The Minister/Department is advised to put in place, if not already there, systems of data collection with the help of successfully developed indicators necessary for the Minister/Department.

8. However, the above guidelines are not intended to prescribe a fixed format. These are

7. Planning for future requirements

6. Minister/Department are advised to carry out the above

5. Minister/Department are encouraged to make use of the services of the Commissions, Brand, etc.

4. Parliaments Departments are encouraged to make use of the services of the Commissions, Brand, etc.

3. Parliaments Departments are encouraged to make use of the services of the Commissions, Brand, etc.

2. Parliaments Departments are encouraged to make use of the services of the Commissions, Brand, etc.

1. Parliaments Departments are encouraged to make use of the services of the Commissions, Brand, etc.
Follow up action after presentation of Outcome Budget

13. The real value of Outcome Budget lies in its utility as a policy tool to establish effective linkage with allocation and disbursement of public funds on the basis of measurable performance.

14. As mentioned in paragraph 4 above, the Ministries/Departments should indicate their monitoring mechanism and the public information system in the Outcome Budget. This will result in periodic progress reports being made available to the Ministry/Department by the implementing agencies. This next logical step would be to link release of funds with progress in achieving monitorable physical progress against commitments made in the Outcome Budget.

15. As the Ministries are aware, Rule 212 of the General Financial Rules, 2005 introduced significant changes in the system of “Utilization Certificates”. Besides financial certification of having fully spent the amount for approved purposes, the Utilization Certificate should also disclose whether the specified, quantified and qualitative targets that should have been reached against the amount utilized, were in fact reached, and if not, the reasons therefor. Utilization Certificates should contain an output based performance assessment instead of input based performance assessment.

16. Accordingly, the Ministries/Departments should revise the guidelines and practices applicable to their schemes to ensure a staggered and controlled release of funds. In combination with other expenditure management measures like e-banking, it should be feasible to ensure that funds are made available in time for actual requirement and that the funds are neither delayed, not diverted nor parked outside Government account.

(MEENA AGARWAL)
Joint Secretary to the Govt. of India

All Secretaries to the Government of India
All Financial Advisers to the Ministries / Departments
All Heads of accounting wings in the Ministries/Departments

Copy to:- Member Secretary, Planning Commission
List of Demand/ Appropriation in respect of which outcome budget is not mandatory

The Outcome Budget 2013-14 is intended to cover the entire Central Plan Outlay (Gross Support and Internal and Extra Budgetary Resources) and connected Non-Plan provisions that are amenable to Outcome Budgeting. In general, a Ministry/Department may exclude to "Assistance to state Plan" component of its Plan Budget from the scope of Outcome Budget. The following demand/appropriations are specifically exempted from the purview of outcome budgeting:

- Ministry of Defence
- Defence Pensions
- Defence Service- Army
- Defence Service- Navy
- Defence Service- Air Force
- Defence Ordnance Factories
- Defence Services Research and Development
- Capital Outlay on Defence Services
- Interest Payments
- Transfer to State and Union Territory Governments
- Loans to Government Servants etc.
- Repayment of Debt
- Pensions
- Indian Audit and Accounts Department
- Cabinet
- Transfers to Union Territory Governments
- Election Commission
- Supreme Court of India
- Ministry of Parliamentary Affairs
- Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions
- Staff, Household and allowances of the President
- Lok Sabha
- Rajya Sabha
- Union Public Services Commission
- Secretariat of the Vice President
- Andaman & Nicobar Islands
- Chandigarh
- Dadra & Nagar Haveli
- Daman & Diu
- Lakshadweep
## Format of Tables in Chapter II of Outcome Budget 2013-14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Name of Scheme/Programme</th>
<th>Objective/Outcome</th>
<th>Outlay 2013-14</th>
<th>Quantifiable Deliverables/Physical Outputs</th>
<th>Projected Outcomes</th>
<th>Processes/Timelines</th>
<th>Remarks/Risk Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4(i) 4(ii) 4(iii)</td>
<td>5 6 7 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Plan Budget</td>
<td>Plan Budget Complementary Extra-Budgetary Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. Items in Column 2 shall be as per Statement of Budget Estimate (SBE) included in Expenditure Budget Vol.II. Major programmes listed in the SBE must be shown separately, while smaller items of SBE may be conveniently clubbed. An exercise to week out schemes with sub-critical financial outlays or merge them appropriately into major programmes is separately being undertaken.

2. Figures in Column 4(i) and 4(ii) as per Statement of Budget Estimate (SBE) included in Expenditure Budget Vol.II with Plan Budget figure including the amount allocable for NE out of lump sum allocation.

Figures in Column 4(iii) complementary extra-budgetary resources means expenditure committed for the purpose by entities other than the Central Government. Typically, it would include matching share from the State Government for Centrally sponsored schemes or resources contribution by public sector undertakings or resources contributed by private parties in the case of public private partnership projects. Thus, it will include the IEBR figure in respect of CPSEs as per Statement of Budget Estimate Included in Expenditure Budget Vol.II, which may be explained through a footnote.