

Memo. No. 10349(225)—G. P. F.-6/92-F.

## FINANCE DEPARTMENT

The 10th March 1992

To

All Departments of Government  
All Heads of Departments

Subject—Timely settlement of G. P. F. Cases

Read:— Finance Department O. M. No. 35749-F., dated the 27th July 1979, No. 30423, dated the 10th August 1988, No. 33338, dated the 29th August 1989 and O. M. No. 26331 -F., dated the 18th July 1991.

The undersigned is directed to say that it has been brought to the notice of Government that furnishing incorrect/incomplete information on final payment application of G. P. F. accumulations of the retired Government servants by the Drawing and Disbursing Officer or Head of Office to Accountant-General, Orissa causes not only delay in settlement of their final dues but also leads to several complications including excess payment.

2. Provident Fund accumulations after retirement are required to be authorised by the Audit office basing on the information furnished on the application Form O. T. C.-80 (A&B) by the subscriber and forwarded through Head Office/Drawing and Disbursing Officer. Despite the issue of several instructions of Government on the subject referred to above it is still noticed in most of the cases the different columns of the application form either have not been filled in properly or some columns have been left out blank. Head of Office/Department are not being careful in their scrutiny of details relating to date of retirement, amount of subscription/refund last deducted (four months prior to retirement), drawal of advances in twelve months preceding the date of retirement, while forwarding the final payment application, to Accountant-General, Orissa as a result, the final payment cases are getting delayed.

3. In order to overcome the difficulties noted under Para. 2 above, it is once again impressed upon all concerned to furnish correct information on final payment application after ascertaining correctness of the information filled in by the subscribers, failing which this will seriously be viewed by Government.

4. This may be brought to the notice of all subordinate offices under their Administrative Control.

S. K. RATH

Joint Secretary to Government

No. 23446—P. C. C.-11/90-F.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

the 19th June 1991

Subject—Protection of *ad hoc* D. A./R. P. P. under the O. R. S. P. Rules, 1989— Clarification regarding

Under the Orissa Revised Scales of Pay (Amendment) Rules 1990, the employees who were in receipt of *ad hoc* D. A./R. P. P. in terms of Finance Department Memo. No. 587, dated the 4th January 1986 and No. 5520, dated the 16th February 1987, have been granted protection of the differential as Personal pay to be absorbed in future increase in pay in case such *ad hoc* D. A./R. P. P. together

with existing emoluments as calculated in accordance with Rule 3 (c) of the O. R. S. P. Rules, 1989 exceed the revised emoluments. It has been envisaged in Finance Department Memo. No. 587, dated the 4th January 1986 that the *ad hoc* D. A. will continue in the Revised Scale, 1985 so long as the incumbent continues to hold a post carrying special pay.

Individual cases have now been brought to the notice of Finance Department where the employee in receipt of *ad hoc* D. A. have discontinued to hold special pay carrying posts for a short period but later appointed to the same post carrying special pay. Thus, a doubt has arisen whether such employees would also be eligible to the benefit of protection of total emoluments as provided under the Orissa Revised Scales of Pay (Amendment) Rules, 1990.

To remove such difficulties, it is hereby clarified that the benefit of *ad hoc* D. A. shall be extended to such employee (s) who were enjoying the benefit of *ad hoc* D. A. in terms of Finance Department Memo. No. 587, dated the 4th January 1986 for the purpose of pay fixation under the O. R. S. P. (Amendment) Rules, 1990 by recording a certificate in the pay fixation statement to the effect that the employee (s) was/were in receipt of *ad hoc* D. A. and the same was discontinued due to circumstances beyond the control of the Government servant.

S. K. RATH

Joint Secretary to Government

No. 25275—P C.C.-38/91-F.

GOVERNMENT OF ORISSA

FINANCE DEPARTMENT

RESOLUTION

The 5th July 1991

Subject— Orissa Revised Scales of Pay Rules, 1989

Under sub-rule (7) of the Orissa Revised Scales of Pay Rules, 1989 the pay of a Government servant who in the existing scale was drawing immediately before the 1st May 1989 more pay than his junior in the same cadre and whose pay is fixed in the revised scale at a stage lower than that of junior, his pay is stepped up to the same stage in the revised scale as that of the junior. Cases have arisen where the pay of the senior was equal with that of the junior in the existing scale and their pay was fixed at the same stage in the Revised Scale under the Orissa Revised Scale of Pay Rules, 1989; but subsequently, the junior got more pay due to his earlier date of increment. To mitigate hardship of the senior the Governor after careful consideration have been pleased to decide that "the next increment of a Government Servant whose pay is fixed under Rule 7 of Orissa Revised Scales of Pay Rules, 1989 on the 1st day of may, 1989 at the same stage, as the one fixed for another Government servant junior to him in the same cadre and drawing pay at the same or at a lower stage than such junior in the existing scale, shall be granted on the same date as admissible to such junior if the date of increment of the junior happens to be earlier".

Formal amendment to the relevant provision of the aforesaid rules will be made separately.

Order—Ordered that the Resolution be published in an extraordinary issue of the *Orissa Gazette* for general information.

By order the Governor

P. K. PATNAIK

Principal Secretary to Government